Script No. 10/570,833

12/14/06 01:28pm P.

Atty, Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

the specification of which (check one):

- 1. My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- 2. I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

7	is attached hereto. was filed on: March 1, 2006			A
_	Application Serial No.:	10/570,833		
]	and was amended on:		·	

- 3. I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.
- 4. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- 5. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Link hoterfor Abbuernout	')		monty Change
PCT/US2004/028926 (Number)	PCT (Country)	2 September, 2004 (Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No
 I hereby claim the patent applications listed below 		C. 119(e) of any United Sta	ites provisiona
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pen Abandoned, Expired)	ding,
60/499,961	September 2, 2003	Expired	

71 443549

89/28/2887 17:39

12/12/2006 18:16

SIRF SA

PAGE 83/89

PAGE

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/546,816	February 23, 2004	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/547,385	February 23, 2004	Expired

- 7. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any natter issued thereon.
- 8. I bereby appoint Jennifer, H. Hamilton (Reg. No. 41,814), Francisco A. Rubio-Cempos (Reg. No. 43,258), drojent J. Guilliver (Reg. No. 44,138), Jeffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Glockler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flyan (Reg. No. 37,325); Jay M. Brown (Reg. No. 30,033); Endque Perez (Reg. No. 43,853); Alison Schwartz (Reg. 43,863), and other registered patent etterneys and agents of the firm 1th Eclipse Group, and Nicolas Glickar (Reg. No. 45,245) Chief IP Counsel, SIRF Technology, Inc., assignce of the subject matter, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revenance, in provenue this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Jennifor H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balbon Blvd., Suite 300 Granada Hills, CA 91344

Please direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at \$18.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332,4205).

Full name of first joint inventor:

Tiote:

Residence and Post Office Address:

Citizonship:

Serial No. 10/570,833

25212 Calle del Lago

Lake Forest, CA 92630

8183324205 09/20/2007 17:39

THE ECLIPSE GROUP

PAGE 18/48

71443549 12/12/2006 18:16

SIRF SA

Full name of second joint inventor: Henry D. Falk Date: 12/13/2006

Residence and Post Office Address: 3150 Julian Avenue Long Beach, CA 90808

Citizenship:

Full name of third joint inventor: Steven A. Gronemeyer

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address: 6908 Wilton Drive NB Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

Citizenship;

Full name of fourth joint inventor: Chittheranjan Dassennacharya

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address: C-306 ATS Greens 1 Sector 50, NOIDA 201307, India India

Citizenship:

Charles P. Norman

Full name of fifth joint inventor:

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address: 6071 Softwind Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Citizenship:

Full name of sixth joint inventor: Nicoles Vantalou

Date: 15 bis rue Chateauneuf Residence and Post Office Address:

Nice, 0600, France Citizenship:

France

US

Full name of seventh joint inventor: Volislay Protic

Dute:

Residence and Post Office Address: 765 San Antonio Road # 19

Citizenship:

Palo Alto, CA 94303

Sorial No. 10/570,833

US

797

Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Meterial to Patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of caudor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as monutors a coupy or customes of the Cutties an automation known to that interpretate to we interfrat to prescuedinty of defined in this section. The days of defined in this section. The days of defined in this section exists with respect to each perdict plant until the claim is consolled or widefraway from consideration, or the application becomes absorbed. Information in material to the particulability of a claim that is secured for withdrawn from consideration, now now now to be scheduled if the information is presentating on a vessel that is become in which the presentation of the presentation which is not material to the parentability of any criticing claim. The days to disclose all information which is not material to the parentability of any criticing claim. The days to disclose all informations the presentation of the presentation is the presentation of the present the presentation of BELLEGATION AND ADDRESS AND AD intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closer information over which individuals responsited with the filling or proceeding of a patent application follows any pending claim paramiably defines, to make ours that any meterial information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prima facie case of unparentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim A parameter amon uses at implementative as measurement and measurement consideration compared as outstanding to impressed the proposed account of medicates, burnier-of-proved canadent, giving each term in the delaint ja broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to ovidence which may be admitted in one attempt to ovidence which may be admitted in one attempt to ovidence which may be admitted in one attempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted as in a tempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence when the admitted to the attempt to ovidence which may be admitted to the attempt to ovidence when the admitted to the attempt to ovidence which the admitted to the attempt to ovidence when the admitted to the a
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a petent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the proparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with appear to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventur may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filling date of the continuation in part application.

Sectal No. 10/570.833

RECEIVED CENTRAL PRAY CENTER

SEP 2 0 2007

Atty. Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

- My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

the specification of which (check one):

	is attached hereto. was filed on: March 1, 2006		į
23	Application Serial No.:	10/570,833	
	and was amended on:		

- I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.
- 4. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- 5. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Priority Claimed

 PCT/US2004/028926
 PCT
 2 September, 2004
 Image: Company of the compa

 I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional patent applications listed below.

Prior United States Application(s)

 (Application Serial No.)
 (Filing Date)
 (Status)-(Patonted, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)

 60/499,961
 September 2, 2003
 Expired

Serial No. 10/570,833

- 1 -

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/546,816	February 23, 2004	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/547,385	February 23, 2004	Expired

- 7. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful flate statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.
- (Reg. No. 45,358), Gregory B. Guiliver (Reg. No. 44,138), Joffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Glockler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flyam (Reg. No. 32,325); Jay M. Brown (Reg. No. 30,033); Enrique Perez (Reg. No. 43,853); Alison Schwartz (Reg. 43,863), and other registered patent atomeys and agents of the firm The Eclipse Group, and Nicolas Gikkias (Reg. No. 46,245) Chief IP Counsel, SiRF Technology, Inc., assignee of the subject matter, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Jenuifer H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balboa Bivd., Suite 300 Granada Hills, CA 91344

Picase direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at 818.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332.4205).

Full name of first joint inventor:

Paul A. Underbrink

Date

Residence and Post Office Address:

25212 Calle del Lago Lake Forest, CA 92630

Citizenship:

HS

Serial No. 10/570,833

Full name of second joint inventor: Henry D. Falk Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 3150 Julian Avenue Long Beach, CA 90808 Citizenship: Steven A. Gronemeyer Full pame of third joint inventor: Salzonem Date: Dec 14, 2006 6908 Wilton Drive NE Residence and Post Office Address: Cedar Rapids, IA 52402 US Citizenship: Chittherenjen Dassannacharya Full name of fourth joint inventor: C-306 ATS Greens 1 Residence and Post Office Address: Sector 50, NOIDA 201307, India India Citizenship: Charles P. Norman Full name of fifth joint inventor: 6071 Softwind Drive Residence and Post Office Address: Huntington Beach, CA 92647 Citizenship: Micoles Vantalon Full name of sixth joint inventor: Residence and Post Office Address: 15 bis rue Chateanneuf Nice, 0600, France Citizenship: Prance Vojislav Protic Full name of seventh joint inventor: 765 San Antonio Road # 19 Residence and Post Office Address: Palo Alto, CA 94303 Citizenship:

Scriel No. 10/570,833

Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patontability.

- (i) A patent by in very patent is affected with a poblic intenset. The public intenset is best served, and then entirelization patent remissions contra whom, at the time as rapplication is being extunded, the Officie as ward of and entirelization in the patent patent in the patent patent is best patent with the filling and protectation of a patent application is as a day of condor and good fifth in doubles seed with the Officie all information known to flat individual seasociated with the Officie of the Office all information known to flat individual to be material to patentiality of seasons and the other patentiality of the office all information notice with respect to each pending claim until field claims is expected or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abundanced. Information material to the patentiality of any claim romaining under consideration made not be operationed by the other patentiality of any claim romaining under consideration criticing claim. The daily to disclose all information leaves to be a substantial to the consideration of the patentiality of the patential to the patenti
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals essociated with the filling or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sere that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to pathetability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prima faule case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the proposederance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim; is broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consistention is given to evidence which may be obtained in an attempt to entablish as contrary conclusion of parametrity.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or presecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or presecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the sadgree or with inventor to whom there is an obligation to easing the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disalosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-past application, the daty under this section includes the daty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentiality, as defined in paragraph (t) of this section, which become available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or FCT international filling date of the conditional-meet application.

Serial No. 10/570,833

Atty. Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

- 1. My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

the specification of which (check one):

	is attached hereto.		
ă	was filed on: September 2, 2004		_ As
_	Application Serial No.:	PCT/US2004/028926	_
\exists	and was amended on:		_
		· ·	

- 3. 1 hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.
- I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- 5. I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional patent applications listed below.

Prior United States Application(s)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/499,961	September 2, 2003	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patchted, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/546,816	February 23, 2004	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/547,385	February 23, 2004	Expired

-1-

Serial No. PCT/US2004/028926

- 6. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willing false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.
- 7. 1 hereby appoint Jennifer H. Hamilton (Reg. No. 41,814), Francisco A. Rubio-Campos (Reg. No. 45,358), Gregory B. Culliver (Reg. No. 44,138), Jeffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Gloekler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flynn (Reg. No. 37,325); Enrique Perez (Reg. No. 43,853), and other registered patent attorneys and agents of the firm The Eclipse Group, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Full name of first joint inventor:

Jennifer H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balboa Blyd., Suite 300 Granada Hills, CA 91344

Please direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at 818.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332.4205).

Paul A. Underbrink

321		
Date:		
Residence and Post Office Address:	25212 Calle del Lago Lake Forest, CA 92630	
Citizenship:	US	
Full name of second joint inventor:	Henry D. Falk	
Date:		
Residence and Post Office Address:	3150 Julian Avenue Long Beach, CA 90808	
Citizenship:	US	
Full name of third joint inventor:	Steven A., Gronemeyer	
Date:		
Residence and Post Office Address:	6908 Wilton Drive NE	
Citizenship:	Cedar Rapids, IA 52402 US	

Full name of fourth joint inventor:

Chittharanjan A. Dasannacharya

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address:

16-March-2009 C-302, Temple Meadows, 27th Cross,

BSK II (2nd Stage, Banashankari), Bangalorc 560 070 India

Citizenship:

Full name of fifth joint inventor:

Charles P. Norman

India

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address:

6071 Softwind Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Citizenship:

Nicolas Vantalon

US

U\$

Full name of sixth joint inventor:

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address:

15 bis rue Chatcauneuf Nice, 0600, France France

Vojislav Protic

Citizenship:

Full name of seventh joint inventor: Residence and Post Office Address:

765 San Antonio Road, #19 Palo Alto, CA 94303

Citizenship:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good falth in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facic case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unparentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patcotability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application; .
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application,

- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorncy, agent, or inventor.
- (c) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section. which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

05/12/2009 17:59

Atty. Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

- 1. My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

the specification of which (check one):

	is attached hereto.	•	
3	was filed on: September 2, 2004		As
	Application Scrial No.:	PCT/US2004/028926	
	and was amended on:		

- 3. I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.
- 4. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional patent applications listed below.

Prior United States Application(s)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/499,961	September 2, 2003	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/546,816	February 23, 2004	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/547,385	February 23, 2004	Expired

07/09

- 6. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any natent issued thereon.
- 7. I hereby appoint Jennifer H. Hamilton (Reg. No. 41,814), Francisco A. Rubio-Campos (Reg. No. 45,358), Gregory B. Gulliver (Reg. No. 44,138), Jeffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Gloekler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flynn (Reg. No. 37,325); Enrique Perez (Reg. No. 43,853); Alison Schwartz (Reg. 43,863), and other registered patent attorneys and agents of the firm The Eclipse Group, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Jennifer H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balboa Blvd., Suite 300 Granada Hills, CA 91344

Please direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at 818.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332.4205).

Paul A. Underbrink Full name of first joint inventor: Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 25212 Calle del Lago Lake Forest, CA 92630 Citizenship: US Full name of second joint inventor: Henry D. Falk Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 3150 Julian Avenue Long Beach, CA 90808 Citizenship: US Full name of third joint inventor: Steven A. Gronemeyer Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 6908 Wilton Drive NE Cedar Rapids, IA 52402 Citizenship: US

Residence and Post Office Address:

Citizenship:

Full name of fourth joint inventor:	Chittharanjan Dassannacharya	
Date:		
Residence and Post Office Address:	C-306 ATS Greens 1	
Citizenship:	Sector 50, NOIDA 201307, India India	
Full name of fifth joint inventor:	Charles P. Norman	
Date:		
Residence and Post Office Address:	6071 Softwind Drive	-
Citizenship:	Huntington Beach, CA 92647 US	
Full name of sixth joint inventor:	Nicolas Vantalon	
Date: ララノシニノレド	+	
Residence and Post Office Address:	15 bis rue Chateauneuf	
Citizenship:	Nice, 0600, France France	
Full name of seventh joint inventor:	Vojislav Protic	
O-1		

765 San Antonio Road # 19 Palo Alto, CA 94303 US

PAGE 09/09

(a) A patent by its very vature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to petentiability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of cander and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentiability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim unatterial to the patentiability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information in a patentiability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentiability of any claim is not material to the patentiability of a claim that is not material to the patentiability of any claim is not material to the patentiability of a patentiability of any claim issued in a patentiability of the Office of the manage prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fittude on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through had faith or

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facic case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facic case of unparentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving seak term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contravy conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information knows to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

THE ECLIPSE GROUP

PAGE 22/48

RECEIVE CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Atty. Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

Ser 4 U 2007

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor. I hereby declare that:

- 1. My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- 2. I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

the specification of which (check one):

	is attached hereto.		
\boxtimes	was filed on: September 2, 2004		_ A
	Application Serial No.:	PCT/US2004/028926 ·	_
	and was amended on:		_

- I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.
- 4. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- 5. I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional patent applications listed below.

Prior United States Application(s)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/499,961	September 2, 2003	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/546,816	February 23, 2004	Expired
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/547,385	February 23, 2004	Expired

Sarial No. PCT/US2004/028926

- 6. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any natent issued thereon.
- 7. I hereby appoint Jennifer H. Hamilton (Reg. No. 41,814), Francisco A. Rublo-Campos (Reg. No. 45,558), Gregory B. Gulliver (Reg. No. 44,138), Jeffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Gloskler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flynn (Reg. No. 37,325); Jay M. Brown (Reg. No. 30,033); Enrique Perez (Reg. No. 43,853); Alson Schwartz (Rog. 43,863), and other registered patent attorneys and agents of the firm The Eclipse Group, and Nicolas Gikkas (Reg. No. 46,245) Chief IP Counsel, SiRF Technology, Inc., assignee of the subject matter, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Jennifer H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balboa Blvd., Suitz 300 Granada Hills. CA 91344

Please direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at 818.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332.4205).

Paul A. Underbrink Full name of first joint inventor: Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 25212 Calle del Lago Lake Forest, CA 92630 Citizenship: TIS Full name of second joint inventor: Henry D. Falk Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 3150 Julian Avenue Long Beach, CA 90808 Citizenship: Full name of third joint inventor: Steven A. Gronemeyer Date: Residence and Post Office Address: 6908 Wilton Drive NE Cedar Rapids, IA 52402 Citizenship: US

Script No. PCT/US2004/028926

Thinks of fourth joint inventor:

Chittheranjan Dassannacharya

Readorte end Post Office Address:

Case ATS Greens 1
Sector 50, NOIDA 201307, India India

Chitesia of fifth joint inventor:

Charles P. Norman

Chitesia Norman

Charles P. Norman

Charles P.

Post Office Address:

765 San Antonio Road # 19 Palo Alto, CA 94303 US

Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.

(i) A pulsan by in very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is been served, and then extended into efficiency patient rearminates occurs when, at the time an explication is to being extended, the Offices is well and protection of a pleast application has a days of encoder and good faith in dealing with the Office, and information to the extended of faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a darty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual associated with the office of the office of

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals essociated with the filling or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sero that any natural information contained there in it disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to pathnability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facte case of unpatentability of a claim: or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prints fluid ease of upparamentality is entablished when the information complet is conclusion that a claim is upparamental to update for proposedness of evidence, burden-of-provid manded, giving such term in the claim is broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be outstanted in an attempt to evaluable, necessary consistent of parameterity.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or presecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the invostor, with the assignce or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application;
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation in part application, the daty under this section includes the daty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to paramtability, as defined in paragraph (t) of this section, which became available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filling date of the conditional-mp-set application.

Barlel No. 10/570.833

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 2 0 2007

Atty, Docket No. ST02042USU2 (281-US-U2)

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

- 1. My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
- 2. I believe I am the original and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention titled:

STOWAR DEPOCESSING SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE POSITIONING SIGNALS

	is attached hereto.	•	
図	was filed on: March 1, 2006		As
_	Application Serial No.:	10/570,833	
	and was amended on:		

- dentified
- 4. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as described in 37 C.F.R. 1.56, which is defined on the attached page.
- 5. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed;

Prior Foreign Application	(s) ·	Pr	iority Claime
PCT/US2004/028926 (Number)	PCT (Country)	2 September, 2004 (Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No

6. I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional patent applications listed below.

Prior United States Application(s)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
60/499,961	September 2, 2003	Expired

Script No. 10/570,833

PAGE 27/48

(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending, Abandoned, Expired)
February 23, 2004	Expired
(Filing Date)	(Status)-(Patented, Pending,
	Abandoned, Expired)
February 23, 2004	Expired
	February 23, 2004 (Filing Date)

- 7. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.
- 8. I hereby appoint Jennifer H. Hamilton (Reg. No. 41,814), Francisco A. Rubio-Campos (Reg. No. 45,558), Grigory B. Gullver (Reg. No. 44,138), Jeffrey C. Wilk (Reg. No. 42,227), David P. Glockler (Reg. No. 41,037), Kevin E. Flynn (Reg. No. 37,322); Jay M. Brown (Reg. No. 30,033); Enrique Perez (Reg. No. 43,853); Alison Schwartz (Reg. 43,863), and other registered patent attorneys and agents of the firm The Eclipse Group, and Nicolas Gikkas (Reg. No. 46,245) Chief IP Counsel, SIRF Technology, Inc., assignee of the subject matter, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewise.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Jennifer H. Hamilton THE ECLIPSE GROUP LLP 10605 Balboa Bivd., Suite 300 Granada Hills, CA 91344

Picase direct telephone calls to Jennifer H. Hamilton at 818.488.8141 (facsimile 818.332.4205).

Full name of first joint inventor:

Paul A. Underbrink

Date:

Residence and Post Office Address:

25212 Calle del Lago Lake Forest, CA 92630

Citizenship:

HS

Serial No. 10/570,833

09/20/2007 17:39 8183324205 THE ECLIPSE GROUP

PAGE 20/40

12/13/2006 15:09

40845704

SIRF TECHNOLOGY

PAGE

Full name of second joint inventor:

Henry D. Falk

3150 Julian Avenue Residence and Post Office Address: Long Beach, CA 90808

Citizenship:

Steven A. Gronemeyer Full name of third joint inventor:

Residence and Post Office Address:

6908 Wilton Drive NE Ceder Rapids, LA 52402

Citizenship:

Full name of fourth joint inventor:

Chittherenian Dassennacharya

Residence and Post Office Address:

C-306 ATS Greens 1 Sector 50, NOIDA 201307, India

India

Full name of fifth joint inventor:

Charles P. Norman

Residence and Post Office Address:

6071 Softwind Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Citizenship:

Citizenship:

Full name of sixth joint inventor:

Nicolas Vantalon

15 bis rue Chateauneuf Residence and Post Office Address: Nice, 0600, France

Citizenship:

France

Full name of seventh joint inventor:

Vojislav Protic Vosislov Prohic

12/13/2006

765 San Antonio Road # 19

Residence and Post Office Address:

Palo Alto, CA 94303 US

Citizenship:

fittle No. 10/570,833

Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.

- (a) A peten by its very asture is affected with a public interest. The public interest is but served, and the cent effective puetent examination occurs when, at the time as application is being examined, the Office is swerre of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentiability. Each individual associated with the filling and presentation of a patent application has a day of condor and good first in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information kinows to that individual to be material to patentiability of addition in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandomed. Information material to the patentiability of any claim semanting under consideration need not be simulated if the information is not resterial to the patentiability of any claim semanting under consideration in the application. There is no duty to around a contract of the patentiality of any claim semanting to the patentiality of any claim semanting under consideration in the application. There is no duty to around a contract of the contract of the patentiality of any claim issued in a patent was closely the Office or submitted the office in the manner procedured by \$\frac{1}{2}\$ by 170-(6) and 19.8. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which from do to the Office was practiced or extempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intented on the montant of the patent of the office of the contract of t
 - (1) prior articled in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and .
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filling or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prima facio case of supatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim unpatentable under the prepocelerance of evidence, burden-of-proof stander, giving each term in the claim fits broades reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an extent to establish as construy consistent on of patentability.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filling or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this action are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignce or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (c) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information income to the person to be material to periodishity, as defined in paragraph (b) of dissection, which became available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or FCT international filling date of the continuation-in-part application.

Serial No. PCT/US2004/028926